



INDIVIDUAL CABINET MEMBER AND OFFICER DELEGATED DECISIONS THURSDAY, 12 NOVEMBER 2009

Please find enclosed Decision Notices in connection with the following:

Individual Cabinet Member Decisions:

- 1. **Award of Discretionary Rate Relief** (Pages 1 9)
- 2. Application for Rate Relief on the Grounds of Hardship (Pages 10 14)
 - Officer Delegated Decisions:
- 3. Supply of Kitchen Food Waste Containers and Kerbside Food Waste Containers (Page 15)
- 4. **Tender Acceptance Emergency Call Centre Procurement** (Council Housing Services) (Page 16)

Please note that these are subject to call-in.

Queries regarding these documents

Please contact David Watson - Democratic Services, Telephone 01524 582096 or e-mail dwatson@lancaster.gov.uk.

Gillian Noall, Head of Democratic Services, Town Hall, Dalton Square, Lancaster LA1 1PJ

Published on Thursday, 12 November 2009



EXECUTIVE DECISIONS TAKEN BY CABINET PORTFOLIO HOLDER OR DELEGATED OFFICER NOTICE OF DECISION

THIS SECTION TO BE COMPLETED BY THE PORTFOLIO HOLDER AND CONTACT OFFICER

	O DE CONTEETED	DI IIILI (ORTFOLIO HOLDER AND C	201117101 01	TTTOER		
TITLE OF DECISION: APPLICATION FOR DISCRETIONARY RATE RELIEF							
NAME OF DECISION 1	AKER: COUNC	COUNCILLOR ROGER MACE					
POSITION AND		CABINET PORTFOLIO HOLDER FOR REVENUE SERVICES					
RESPONSIBILITY HEL	SPONSIBILITY HELD:						
CONTACT OFFICER:	GRAHA	GRAHAM SAYERS					
TELEPHONE:	01524	582203					
E-MAIL:	gsayeı	rs@lancas	ster.gov.uk				
Details of Decision: That having considered this individual case on merit Application A from Royal National Lifeboat Institution be awarded 20% "top up" discretionary rate relief from the 1 August 2009 – 31st March 2010. Reasons for the decision (continue on separate sheet or append relevant papers as necessary): Having considered the case on merit, the decision is in line with previous decisions made in respect of similar applications. Is the decision URGENT Yes/NO Please delete as appropriate and give reasons for urgency below: No *To be completed in the case of URGENT decisions I CONFIRM THAT I HAVE BEEN CONSULTED ON THE ABOVE DECISION AND THAT IT IS URGENT AND REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES. (IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 17 OF THE OVERVIEW & SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULES) SIGNATURE OF THE OVERVIEW & N/A							
SCRUTINY CHAIRMAN:							
I confirm that I have taken account of the options proposed by officers, the various implications set out in the report and the comments of the Monitoring and Section 151 Officers and am authorising the decision as set out above. SIGNATURE OF DECISION TAKER: Roger Mace DATE: 2 November 2009							
				REF NO.	PD249		
DATE DECISION TAKEN:	2 November 2		DATE RECEIVED BY DEMOCRATIC SERVICES:	3 November 2009			
DATE DECISION PUBLISHED:	12 November 2009 IMPLEMENTATION DATE (publication day + 5 working days):			20 November 2009			

A copy of the report considered by the decision taker should be appended to this form and forwarded immediately to Democratic Services on completion.



Application for Discretionary Rate Relief

Individual Cabinet Member Decision (Councillor Roger Mace) Report of Head of Revenue Services

PURPOSE OF REPORT							
The Council has a discretionary power to award rate relief to Business ratepayers in specified circumstances.							
This report requ	This report requests the Cabinet Member to consider:						
1 new application for 20% top-up relief (A)							
Key Decision	Non-Key Decision X Referral from Cabinet Member						
Date Included in Forward Plan Not Applicable							
This report is public							

RECOMMENDATIONS:

That after taking into account the merits of this case and previous decisions to ensure consistency of treatment:

Application A is granted 20% top-up relief

1. Introduction

1.1 Under Section 43 of the Local Government Finance Act 1988, where a property is occupied by a registered charity or trustees for a charity, liability to Non-Domestic Rate is reduced by 80%.

Under Section 47 of the Act, the Council has discretion to 'top-up' to 100%, the relief given to any organisation receiving mandatory relief.

In addition, further discretion is available to grant up to 100% rate relief to other non-profit making organisations.

Because of the legal requirements for the length of notice to be given to an organisation when varying or cancelling relief, it is the Council's policy to grant discretionary relief for one year only rendering each case subject to an annual review. If it is determined that relief should be granted, it will be awarded to 31st March 2010

Attached as **Appendix 1** to this report is a guidance note, which the Cabinet Member may wish to use as a basis for their decision in granting relief.

Page 3

Shown below is a summary of the current criteria used to judge various applications when considering claims for mandatory or discretionary rate relief under each particular category.

1. Scouts, Guides, etc.

80% mandatory relief and 20% discretionary relief normally given.

2. Sports Organisations

100% discretionary relief or 80% mandatory and 20% 'top-up' where there is no bar. 50% discretionary relief where there is a bar.

3. **Recreational Organisations**

80% mandatory relief and 20% discretionary relief or 100% discretionary relief, if no mandatory relief.

4. Village Halls/Community Centre

80% mandatory relief and 20% discretionary relief, or 100% discretionary relief if no mandatory relief.

5. **Educational Organisations**

80% mandatory relief but no additional discretionary relief.

6. Charity Shops

80% mandatory relief but no additional discretionary relief unless the charity solely or mainly benefits local residents.

7. Welfare Groups

80% mandatory relief but no additional discretionary relief unless the organisation solely or mainly benefits local residents.

8. **Miscellaneous Organisations**

Playgroups have been given 80% mandatory relief and 20% discretionary relief, or 100% discretionary relief where appropriate. Other organisations falling within this category have been treated according to the merits of their case.

2.0 Proposal Details

New Applications:

A. Name Royal National Lifeboat Institution

Situation Stone Jetty, Marine Road Central Description Hovercraft Station— Category 7

Rateable Value £19500

Application for 20% top up Discretionary Rate Relief (Recommendation to grant relief)

Rate Cha Mandatory Re	arge after elief	Cost to General Fund of agreeing recommendation			
2009/10	1259.27●	94	4.45		
TOTAL	1259.27	94	4.45		

• With effect from 1 August 2009

This application relates to a newly assessed hovercraft station used to store the, hovercraft and vital equipment for the crew. It has been built to replace the existing hovercraft station at Morecambe & Heysham Yacht Club, for which they are currently in receipt of the 20% top-up relief but will close imminently.

3.0 Details of Consultation

3.1 No consultation has taken place.

4.0 Options and Options Analysis (including risk assessment)

4.1 There are two options available for consideration in respect of this application.

4.2 **Option 1-**

To grant discretionary rate relief in respect of this new application, on merit, based on the guidelines provided in this report and with reference to previous decisions made in respect of similar organisations.

4.3 **Option 2-**

To refuse discretionary rate relief, based on the facts of the case and providing the reasons for refusal.

5.0 Officer Preferred Option (and comments)

5.1 Option 1 is the preferred option in respect of Application A granting discretionary relief in accordance with officer recommendations and Council guidelines.

6.0 Conclusion

6.1 The decision should be made in accordance with Officer Recommendations.

RELATIONSHIP TO POLICY FRAMEWORK

The proposals within this report link to the strategy within our existing Corporate Plan whereby we aim to provide and improve upon Lancaster City Council services in the most effective and efficient way.

In addition, the report displays our commitment to joint working with voluntary organisations and recognises the positive contribution that voluntary and community groups play in the provision and prioritisation of service delivery.

CONCLUSION OF IMPACT ASSESSMENT

(including Diversity, Human Rights, Community Safety, Sustainability and Rural Proofing)

This report raises no implications

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Appendix 2 sets out the details of the financial implications of granting relief for the new applications as shown in the recommendations.

The Council has discretion to 'top-up' to 100%, the relief given to an organisation receiving mandatory relief. In addition, further discretion is available to grant up to 100% rate relief for

other non-profit making organisations.

The account arrangements for discretionary relief are somewhat unusual in that the awards for a particular financial year are not accounted for until the following year. As a consequence, relief awarded in 2009/10 will be included in the 2010/11 revenue estimates. It is expected that the total annual cost to General fund of continuing relief to these organisations will be around £67,900 in 2009/10.

The council currently works on the basis that the budget will be driven by the policy for granting mandatory/discretionary relief, rather than the budget (and therefore the granting of relief) being cash limited. If the recommendations are agreed the budget for the cost of relief for 2010/11 will be increased by £945 and by the same amount in future years.

However, there is an ongoing review of Discretionary Rate Relief criteria which may reduce the pressure on this budget, the outcome will be reported to Members during the 20010/11 Budget process.

SECTION 151 OFFICER'S COMMENTS

The Section 151 Officer has been consulted and has no further comments to add.

LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

Legal Services have been consulted and have no observations to make on this report.

MONITORING OFFICER'S COMMENTS

The Monitoring Officer has been consulted and has no further comments to add.

BACKGROUND PAPERS

Exempt under Paragraph 3 of Schedule 12a Local Government Act 1972

Contact Officer: Melanie Cragg Telephone: 01524 582215

E-mail: mcragg@lancaster.gov.uk

Ref:

DISCRETIONARY RATE RELIEF

Under Section 47, Local Government Finance Act 1988 a charging authority may grant relief from all or part of the amount payable by way of National Non-Domestic Rate in respect of hereditaments occupied by a charity for charitable purposes, by certain voluntary bodies of a philanthropic nature, for recreation or by clubs and societies not established or conducted for profit.

Considerations

The notes shown below have been prepared to provide the Cabinet Member with guidance when considering applications for discretionary rate relief.

Due to the wide range of bodies eligible for discretionary rate relief, not all of the criteria shown below will be applicable in each case, therefore each case should be considered on its own merits, taking into account the contribution the organisation makes to the amenities of the area. Guidance is also provided for certain organisations where the Cabinet Member has previously considered applications and in an attempt to provide a consistent approach, the decision of the Cabinet Member has been provided.

When considering an application, the following points should be considered.

i. Access

A Is membership open to all sections of the community?

There may be legitimate restrictions placed on membership which relate for example to ability in a sport or to the achievement of a standard in the field covered by the organisation or where the capacity of the facility is limited. Clubs or organisations should not be considered if they have membership rates set at such a high level as to exclude the general community.

B Does the organisation actively encourage membership from particular groups in the community, e.g. young people, women, older age groups, persons with a disability, etc?

An organisation, which encourages such membership, might expect more sympathetic consideration than one, which makes no effort to attract members from groups, which the authority considered to be particularly deserving of support.

C Are the facilities made available to people other than members, e.g. schools, casual public sessions, etc?

The wider use of facilities should be encouraged and rate relief might be one form of recognition that an organisation was promoting its facilities more widely.

ii. Provision of Facilities

A Does the organisation provide training or education for its members?

Are there schemes for particular groups to develop their skills, e.g. young people, the disabled, retired people?

An organisation providing such facilities might deserve more support than one, which does not.

B Have the facilities available been provided by self-help or grant aid?

The fact that a club uses or has used self-help for construction or maintenance or had facilities funded by grant aid might be an indicator that they were more deserving of relief.

C Does the organisation run a bar?

The mere existence of a bar should not in itself be a reason for not granting relief. The Committee has, in all cases where the organisation operates a bar, limited the relief to a maximum of 50%.

The Authority should look at the main purpose of the organisation. In sports clubs, for example, the balance between playing and non-playing members might provide a useful guide as to whether the main purpose of the club is sporting or social activities. A social club whose main aim is to bring together people with similar interests should not be excluded from relief just because of the existence of a licensed bar.

D Does the organisation provide facilities which indirectly relieve the authority of the need to do so, or enhance and supplement those which it does provide?

Authorities should not refuse relief on the grounds that an organisation is in competition with the Authority itself, but should look at the broader context of the needs of the community as a whole. Provision of facilities to meet a new need, not being provided by the Authority itself, but identified, as a priority for action, might be particularly deserving of support.

iii. Other Considerations

- A Is the organisation affiliated to local or national organisations, e.g. local sports or arts councils, national representative bodies?
- B Is membership drawn from people mainly resident in the billing authority's area?
- C Do the facilities provided benefit the Authority's area as a whole?

The Cabinet Member should be aware that for any application granted, 25% of the total granted will be borne by the taxpayers.

If the facilities provided do not benefit the area as a whole, the taxpayers may be financing a national organisation that is locally based.

The Cabinet Member has previously refused applications if the organisation does not benefit the area as a whole.

iv. Charity Shops

- A If the charity does not solely or mainly benefit local residents the Committee has previously *limited the total relief granted to the organisation to 80%* (this includes the 80% mandatory relief that is granted to registered charities).
- B Is the organisation used wholly or mainly for the sale of goods donated to a charity and are the proceeds of sale applied to the purposes of the charity?

Charity shops, which wholly or mainly sell goods bought under normal trading conditions, are not entitled to relief (this arose from the decision in Oxfam v Birmingham City Council (1975)).

Decisions and Determinations

For all applications for discretionary relief, the Authority must send written notification of the outcome of the application. The notification must state:

- i. Where relief is awarded -
 - (a) The first day to which the decision operates;
 - (b) If in respect of a particular period, the last day to which the decision operates; and
 - (c) the amount chargeable.
- ii. Where relief is refused -
 - (a) The reasons for refusing the application.
- iii. Where relief is revoked or varied
 - (a) The day on which the revocation or variation takes place;
 - (b) the amount chargeable; and
 - (c) the reasons for the revocation/variation.

Summary

- i. When considering an application for discretionary relief, the Cabinet Member should consider the points mentioned above.
- ii. The Cabinet Member should try to ensure that there is consistency in the level of relief granted to organisations of a similar nature.
- iii. 25% of the total value of discretionary relief granted will be borne by the taxpayers. However, in cases where mandatory relief has been granted and the Cabinet Member grants the additional 20% 'top-up' relief, 75% of any additional relief granted will be borne by the taxpayers.
- iv. If an application for relief is refused, the Cabinet Member must give reasons for his decision.

APPENDIX 2

PROPERTY ELIGIBLE FOR RATE			FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS			
RELIEF	TYPE OF RELIEF	AMOUNT OF RELIEF	Proportion offset against payments into NNDR Pool			
Property wholly or mainly used for	Mandatory	80%	100%		£ 	£
charitable purposes which is occupied by a Registered, Excepted or Exempt Charity.	Discretionary	Up to a further 20%	25%	75%		944.45
Property, all or part of which is occupied for the purposes of a non-profit making:					£	£
(a) institution or other organisation, whose main objects are philanthropic or religious or concerned with social welfare, science, literature or the fine arts, or;	Discretionary	Up to 100%	75%	25%	-	-
(b) club, society or other organisation and is used for the purposes of recreation or sport	Discretionary	Up to 100%	75%	25%	-	-
Property, all or part of which is occupied, other than as a trustee, by a charging or precepting authority.	None	None				



EXECUTIVE DECISIONS TAKEN BY CABINET PORTFOLIO HOLDER OR DELEGATED OFFICER NOTICE OF DECISION

THIS SECTION TO BE COMPLETED BY THE PORTFOLIO HOLDER AND CONTACT OFFICER

	THIS SECTION TO BE COMPLETED BY THE PORTFOLIO HOLDER AND CONTACT OFFICER							
TITLE OF DECISION: APPLICATION FOR RATE RELIEF ON THE GROUNDS OF HARDSHIP								
NAME OF DECISION T	AKER: CLLR	CLLR ROGER MACE						
POSITION AND RESPONSIBILITY HEL		CABINET PORTFOLIO HOLDER FOR REVENUE SERVICES						
CONTACT OFFICER:	GRAI	GRAHAM SAYERS						
TELEPHONE:	0152	4 582203						
E-MAIL:	gsay	ers@lancas	ter.gov.uk					
That having consi period 1 April 2008	Details of Decision: That having considered this individual case on merit, the application for hardship relief for the period 1 April 2008 to 31 March 2010 be refused.							
Reasons for the decision (continue on separate sheet or append relevant papers as necessary): The award of hardship relief in these circumstances will do little to alleviate the rate payer's financial predicament, nor would it result in rescuing the business. For this reason and taking into account the interests of other Council Taxpayers in the billing area it is felt that the grounds of hardship are not met.								
Is the decision URGENT Yes/NO Please delete as appropriate and give reasons for urgency below: *To be completed in the case of URGENT decisions								
I CONFIRM THAT I HAVE BEEN CONSULTED ON THE ABOVE DECISION AND THAT IT IS URGENT AND REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES. (IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 17 OF THE OVERVIEW & SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULES) SIGNATURE OF OVERVIEW & SCRUTINY N/A CHAIR:								
I confirm that I have taken account of the options proposed by officers, the various implications set out in the report and the comments of the Monitoring and Section 151 Officers and am authorising the decision as set out above.								
SIGNATURE OF DECISION TAKER: Roger Mace					_			
DATE:			2 November 2009					
	TO BE COMPLETE	D BY DEMOCRATIC SERVICES REF NO.		PD250				
DATE DECISION TAKEN:	2 November 2009		DATE RECEIVED BY DEMOCRATIC SERVICES:	3 November 2009				
DATE DECISION PUBLISHED:	12 November 2009	mber 2009 IMPLEMENTATION DATE 20 November 2009 (publication day + 5						

working days):

Page 11

By virtue of paragraph(s) 1, 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted



Promoting City, Coast & Countryside

EXECUTIVE DECISIONS TAKEN BY CABINET PORTFOLIO HOLDER OR DELEGATED OFFICER NOTICE OF DECISION

THIS SECTION TO BE COMPLETED BY THE PORTFOLIO HOLDER AND CONTACT OFFICER

TITLE OF DECISION: SUPPLY OF KITC	HEN FOOD WASTE	CONTAINER	RS AND KERBSIDE FOOD W	ASTE CONT	AINERS		
NAME OF DECISION T	AKER: MARK	CULLINAN					
POSITION AND RESPONSIBILITY HEL		EXECUTIVE					
CONTACT OFFICER:	PETER	TAYLOR					
TELEPHONE:	01524	582437					
E-MAIL:	ptaylo	r@lancast	er.gov.uk				
Details of Decision: To accept tenders from Mattiussi for 5 litre kitchen caddies for food waste and from Straights for 23 litre kerbside food waste caddies							
Reasons for the decision: Each type of caddy scored highest for quality and was offered at the lowest price.							
Is the decision URGENT Yes/No Please delete as appropriate and give reasons for urgency below: N/A							
I CONFIRM THAT I HAVE BEEN CONSULTED ON THE ABOVE DECISION AND THAT IT IS URGENT AND REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES. (IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 17 OF THE OVERVIEW & SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULES) SIGNATURE OF THE OVERVIEW & SCRUTINY CHAIRMAN:							
I confirm that I have taken account of the options proposed by officers, the various implications set out in the report and the comments of the Monitoring and Section 151 Officers and am authorising the decision as set out above.							
SIGNATURE OF DECISION TAKER: Mark Cullinan							
DATE : 21 October 2009							
THIS SECTION TO BE COMPLETED		BY DEMOCRATIC SERVICES		REF NO.	OD67		
DATE DECISION TAKEN:	21 October 2009		DATE RECEIVED BY DEMOCRATIC SERVICES:	22 October 2009			
DATE DECISION PUBLISHED:	12 November 2009		IMPLEMENTATION DATE (publication day + 5 working days):	20 Novembe	r 2009		

A copy of the report considered by the decision taker should be appended to this form and forwarded immediately to Democratic Services on completion.



Promoting City, Coast & Countryside

EXECUTIVE DECISIONS TAKEN BY CABINET PORTFOLIO HOLDER OR DELEGATED OFFICER NOTICE OF DECISION

THIS SECTION TO BE COMPLETED BY THE PORTFOLIO HOLDER AND CONTACT OFFICER

TITLE OF DECISION: TENDER ACCEPTANCE	CE - EMERGENCY C	ALL CENTE	RE PROCUREMENT (COUNC	IL HOUSING	SERVICES)		
NAME OF DECISION T	AKER: MARK	CULLINAN					
POSITION AND RESPONSIBILITY HEL		CHIEF EXECUTIVE					
CONTACT OFFICER:	CHRIS	HANNA					
TELEPHONE:	01524	582516					
E-MAIL:	channa	a@lancast	ter.gov.uk				
			was determined that Tunst uncil Housing Services).	all Telecom	Limited be		
Reasons for the decision: Tunstall Telecom Limited achieved the highest assessed score both in terms of quality and price.							
IS THE DECISION URGINES/NO PLEASE DELETE AS AP		/E REASON	S FOR URGENCY BELOW:				
I CONFIRM THAT I HAVE BEEN CONSULTED ON THE ABOVE DECISION AND THAT IT IS URGENT AND REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES. (IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 17 OF THE OVERVIEW & SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULES) SIGNATURE OF THE OVERVIEW & N/A SCRUTINY CHAIRMAN:							
I confirm that I have taken account of the options proposed by officers, the various implications set out in the report and the comments of the Monitoring and Section 151 Officers and am authorising the decision as set out above.							
SIGNATURE OF DECISION TAKER: Mark Cullinan							
DATE:			mber 2009				
THIS SECTION	I TO BE COMPLETED	BY DEMOC	RATIC SERVICES	REF NO.	OD68		
DATE DECISION TAKEN:	11 November 2009		DATE RECEIVED BY DEMOCRATIC SERVICES:	11 Novembe	r 2009		
DATE DECISION PUBLISHED:	12 November 2009		IMPLEMENTATION DATE (publication day + 5 working days):	20 November	r 2009		